

[DO NOT PUBLISH]

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

No. 11-10979
Non-Argument Calendar

FILED U.S. COURT OF APPEALS ELEVENTH CIRCUIT JANUARY 9, 2012 JOHN LEY CLERK
--

D.C. Docket No. 1:09-cv-01341-JEC

RASHEED OSHODI,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

LOCKHEED MARTIN CORPORATION,

Defendant - Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Georgia

(January 9, 2012)

Before BARKETT, WILSON and ANDERSON, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

Rasheed Oshodi, proceeding *pro se*, appeals the district court's denial of his motion for sanctions and grant of Defendant's motion for summary judgment in an action alleging race and disability discrimination, failure to accommodate, retaliation, and interference, under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. § 12112; Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e-2(a)(1), 2000e-3(a); 42 U.S.C. § 1981; the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, 29 U.S.C. § 794; and the Family and Medical Leave Act, 29 U.S.C. § 2615.

Although we construe *pro se* briefs liberally, it is not the court's place to argue the appellant's case for him. *GJR Investments Inc. v. County of Escambia, Fla.*, 132 F.3d 1359, 1369 (11th Cir. 1998), overruled on other grounds as recognized in *Randall v. Scott*, 610 F.3d 701, 709 (11th Cir. 2010). A *pro se* litigant who offers no substantive argument on an issue in his brief abandons the issue on appeal. *See Timson v. Sampson*, 518 F.3d 870, 874 (11th Cir. 2008) (*per curiam*).

Oshodi has abandoned all of his claims on appeal by failing to offer any legal arguments in support of his position. Furthermore, there is no error in the district court's holding.

AFFIRMED.